On Minimum Maximal Distance-k Matchings

Yury Kartynnik[1] and Andrew Ryzhikov[2]

[1] *Belarusian State University, Faculty of Applied Mathematics and Informatics, 4 Nezavisimosti Ave., 220030, Minsk, Belarus,* kartynnik@bsu.by

[2] *United Institute of Informatics Problems, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, 6 Surganova Str., 220012, Minsk, Belarus,* ryzhikov.andrew@gmail.com

arXiv:1602.04581v1 [cs.DM] 15 Feb 2016

Abstract

We study the computational complexity of several problems connected with the problems of finding a maximal distance-k matching of minimum cardinality or minimum weight. We introduce the class of k-equimatchable graphs which is an edge analogue of k-equipackable graphs. We prove that the recognition of k-equimatchable graphs is co-NP-complete for any fixed $k \geq 2$. We provide a simple characterization for the class of graphs with equal k-packing and k-domination numbers. We also prove that the problem of finding a minimum weight maximal distance-$2l$ matching in chordal graphs is hard to approximate within a factor of $\varepsilon \ln |V(G)|$ for a fixed ε unless $P = NP$. Finally, we show NP-hardness of the minimum maximal induced matching problem in several restricted graph classes.

Keywords: distance-k matchings, computational complexity, k-equimatchable graphs

1 Introduction

The Maximum Matching problem is one of the most important polynomially solvable problems in graph theory with a wide range of applications. One of its generalizations is the Maximum Distance-k Matching problem, which is to find the distance-k matching of the maximum size. The notion of distance-k matchings was introduced by Stockmeyer and Vazirani in [1] under the name of δ-separated matchings. Distance-1 matchings are ordinary matchings, and distance-2 matchings are known as induced matchings. The Maximum Distance-k Matching problem is NP-hard for any fixed $k \geq 2$, even when restricted to bipartite graphs of maximum degree 4 [1], and for any odd $k \geq 3$ for chordal graphs [17]. However, this problem is solvable in polynomial time for any $k \geq 1$ in strongly chordal graphs [17] and for any even k for chordal graphs [22]. The case of $k = 2$ is studied under the name of Maximum Induced Matching. This problem is NP-hard even for a very restricted subclass of bipartite graphs [20] and for line graphs [21]. A good survey on some further results about maximum induced matchings is given in [19]. Also note that distance-k matchings in G correspond to k-packings in $L(G)$ and thus to independent sets in $(L(G))^k$, the k-th power of the line graph of G. In [18] several non-approximability results for maximum k-packings in bipartite and chordal graphs are presented.

A related Minimum Maximal Distance-k Matching problem is equivalent to finding a minimum k-independent domination set in a line graph. In [16] this problem is proved to be NP-hard for bipartite graphs, and in [15] it is proved that there is no polynomial-time

c-approximation algorithm for this problem for any constant c unless $P = NP$. Orlovich et al. [19] mention some prior results on the complexity aspects of Minimum Maximal Induced Matching problem (which is an instance of Minimum Maximal Distance-k Matching for the case of $k = 2$) in various graph classes and prove that this problem is hard to approximate within a factor of $n^{1-\varepsilon}$ for any constant $\varepsilon > 0$, where n is the number of vertices in the input graph. We provide an inapproximability result for the weighted case of Minimum Maximal Distance-k Matching in chordal graphs for arbitrary fixed even k. We also prove NP-hardness of Minimum Maximal Induced Matching for several restricted classes of graphs.

The duality between different independence-related parameters is also widely studied in the literature. A graph is called k-equipackable if the size of its maximum k-packing (also called a maximum k-independent set) is equal to the size of its minimum maximal k-packing (which is also a minimum k-independent domination set). In other words, k-equipackable graphs are the graphs in which all the maximal k-packings are of the same size. The most widely studied is the class of 1-equipackable graphs, known as well-covered graphs [9]. The recognition of such graphs is a co-NP-complete problem [10], even in the class of $K_{1,4}$-free graphs [11]. The recognition of k-equipackable graphs is also co-NP-complete for arbitrary fixed k [6].

The edge analogue of k-equipackable graphs is the class of k-equimatchable graphs. A graph is k-equimatchable if the size of its maximum distance-k matching is equal to the size of its minimum maximal distance-k matching. For the case of $k = 1$, this class was introduced in [7] along with an implicit characterization which leads to a polynomial algorithm of its recognition. See [5] for a survey of further related results. In [8] it is proved that recognition of 2-equimatchable graphs is a co-NP-complete problem. We generalize this result for arbitrary fixed $k \geq 2$. We also prove that the minimum k-independent domination set problem is NP-hard for line graphs.

Another important field of study is the duality between independence and domination parameters. In [2] it is proved that the k-packing and $2k$-domination numbers are equal for every tree. In [12] the same equality is proved for block graphs, and in [3] this result is extended to the class of sun-free chordal graphs, which includes interval graphs and powers of block graphs. The trees with equal k-packing and k-domination numbers are characterized in [12].

The graphs with equal k-packing and $2k$-packing numbers are characterized in [4]. We show that the characterization obtained there can be simplified to an explicit and concise form, which both leads to a simple recognition algorithm and shows k-equipackability of all these graphs. We also show how to get a characterization of sun-free chordal graphs with equal k-domination and k-packing numbers using the results of [3] and [4]. In addition, our result implies that all such graphs are k-equipackable.

This paper is dedicated to an investigation of the complexity of various problems about minimum maximal distance-k matchings for $k \geq 2$. We show that it is co-NP-complete to decide whether a graph is k-equimatchable for any fixed $k \geq 2$. We also prove

that for a fixed $k \geq 2$, the problem of finding a minimum maximal distance-k matching is NP-hard. This result can be viewed as establishing the computational complexity of finding a minimum k-independent domination set in line graphs. We show that the problem of finding a minimum maximal distance-2 matching is NP-hard for planar graphs of girth bounded from below and for any class $\mathcal{F}$-free planar graphs where $\mathcal{F}$ is a family of biconnected graphs. We also prove that, unless $P = NP$, the problem of finding a minimum weight maximal distance-k matching in a given chordal graph G cannot be approximated in polynomial time within a factor of $\varepsilon \ln n$, where n is the number of vertices in G and ε is some fixed constant. As a positive result, we show that the class of sun-free chordal graphs with equal k-packing and k-domination numbers has an easy polynomial characterization and show the connection of this result to the problem of characterizing k-equipackable graphs.

Before proceeding to the results, we first recall some terminology. We consider finite undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. Let G be a graph with the vertex set $V(G)$ and the edge set $E(G)$. By $L(G)$ we denote the line graph of G, and by G^k we denote the k-th power of G. Let X be some subset of vertices of G. By $G(X)$ we denote a subgraph of G induced by X. The distance between a vertex $v \in V(G)$ and an edge $e \in E(G)$ in a graph G is the length of a shortest path between v and some end vertex of e, i.e. $\mathrm{dist}_G(v, e) = \min\{\mathrm{dist}_G(v, u), \mathrm{dist}_G(v, w)\}$ for $e = uw$. The distance between two edges $e_1, e_2 \in E(G)$ is the length of a shortest path between some end vertex of e_1 and some end vertex of e_2, i.e. $\mathrm{dist}_G(e_1, e_2) = \min_{u \in e_1, v \in e_2}\{\mathrm{dist}_G(u, v)\}$. By $EN_G^t(X)$ we denote the set of all edges in G at a distance less than t from some vertex in X. In particular, $EN_G^1(\{v\})$ is the set of all the edges incident to the vertex v.

A set P of vertices in a graph is called a *k-packing* (or a *k-independent set*) if the distance between any two distinct vertices in this set is larger than k. The maximum size of the k-packings in a graph G is called the *k-packing number* of G and is denoted by $\rho_k(G)$. A set D of vertices in a graph G is called a *k-covering* (or a *k-domination set*) if for any vertex v in $V(G)$ there is a vertex in D at a distance no more than k from v. The minimum size of the k-domination sets in a graph G is called the *k-domination number* of G and is denoted by $\gamma_k(G)$. A set I of vertices in a graph is called a *k-independent domination set* if it is both a k-packing and a k-covering. The minimum size of the k-independent domination sets in a graph G is called the *k-independent domination number* of G and is denoted by $i_k(G)$. It is easy to see that for every graph G, the inequality $\gamma_k(G) \leq i_k(G) \leq \rho_k(G)$ holds. A graph is called *k-equipackable* if all its maximal k-packings are of the same size.

A set M of edges in a graph G is a *distance-k matching* if all pairwise distances between distinct edges in M are at least k. We call a distance-k matching *maximal* if it is not contained in any other distance-k matching, and *maximum* if it has maximum cardinality. A graph is called *k-equimatchable* if all its maximal distance-k matchings are of the same size.

2 Recognition of k-equimatchable graphs

In this section we shall prove that the recognition of k-equimatchable graphs is a co-NP-complete problem for any fixed $k \geq 2$ by constructing a polynomial reduction SAT-TO-GRAPH from an NP-hard GAP-3-SAT problem.

Consider the following polynomial algorithm SAT-TO-GRAPH that constructs a graph G given an integer number $k \geq 2$ and a set of clauses $C = \{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_m\}$, $m > 1$, over a set of boolean variables $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$, $n > 1$. We shall assume that no clause contains a variable and its negation at the same time.

For every clause $c_i \in C$, add a vertex c'_i to $V(G)$. Let these vertices induce a clique C' in G by adding the corresponding edges. Proceed depending on whether k is even or odd.

Case 1: $k = 2l$ for some integer $l \geq 1$. For every variable x_i, add a set of new vertices $X_i \cup \overline{X}_i$ to $V(G)$, where

$$X_i = \{x^i_j : 1 \leq j \leq l+1\},$$

$$\overline{X}_i = \{\overline{x}^i_j : 1 \leq j \leq l+1\}.$$

Make $(x^i_1, x^i_2, \ldots, x^i_{l+1}, \overline{x}^i_{l+1}, \overline{x}^i_l, \ldots, \overline{x}^i_1)$ induce a simple path for every i by adding the corresponding edges.

Case 2: $k = 2l + 1$ for some integer $l \geq 1$. For every variable x_i, add a set of new vertices $X_i \cup \overline{X}_i \cup \{a^i, b^i\}$ to $V(G)$, where

$$X_i = \{x^i_1\} \cup \{x^i_j, x'^i_j : 2 \leq j \leq l+1\},$$

$$\overline{X}_i = \{\overline{x}^i_1\} \cup \{\overline{x}^i_j, \overline{x}'^i_j : 2 \leq j \leq l+1\}.$$

Make $(x^i_1, x^i_2, \ldots, x^i_{l+1}, a^i, \overline{x}^i_{l+1}, \overline{x}^i_l, \ldots, \overline{x}^i_1)$ induce a simple path for every i by adding the corresponding edges. Add the edges $a^i b^i$, $x^i_j x'^i_j$, $\overline{x}^i_j \overline{x}'^i_j$ to $E(G)$ for $2 \leq j \leq l+1$ and every i.

Besides that, in both cases for every occurrence of the literal x_i (respectively, $\overline{x}_i$) in the clause c_j, add a simple (x^i_1, c'_j)-path (respectively, $(\overline{x}^i_1, c'_j)$-path) of length l, introducing new intermediate vertices when necessary. See figure 1 for the illustration of the cases $k = 4$ and $k = 5$.

It is easy to see that the algorithm SAT-TO-GRAPH is polynomial. Below we investigate the properties of a graph G constructed by this algorithm. For an even k, denote by V_i the union of the sets X_i, $\overline{X}_i$. For an odd k, denote by V_i the union of the sets X_i, $\overline{X}_i$, $\{a^i, b^i\}$, $\{x^i, \overline{x}^i\}$.

Claim 1 *For every integer number $k \geq 2$, the cardinality of any maximal distance-k matching in G is equal to n or $n+1$. Moreover, if $|M| = n$, then every edge of M belongs to the set $E(G(V_i))$ for some i.*

Proof. The edge set $EN^l_G(C')$ induces a graph with the diameter $2l + 1$, and thus



Figure 1: SAT-TO-GRAPH: $k = 4$ (left) and $k = 5$, assuming $x_1 \in c_1, x_1 \in c_j, \overline{x}_1 \in c_m$. Dashed lines enclose cliques.

this set cannot have more than one edge from the set M. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, the diameter of the graph induced by V_i is equal to $k+1$, so this subgraph cannot have more than one edge from M. All the edges of G belong to the above, hence $|M| \leq n+1$.

On the other hand, if for some i the edge set $EN^l_G(V_i)$ does not contain an edge from M, we can add to M the edge $a^i b^i$ for an odd k or the edge $x^i_{l+1}\overline{x}^i_{l+1}$ for an even k, and M will still be a distance-k matching. Hence, $|M| \geq n$.

Next, let M be a maximal distance-k matching in G so that $|M| = n$. We shall prove that every edge from M belongs to the graph $G(V_i)$ for some i. Assume the contrary. Recall that each set $EN^l_G(V_i)$ contains at least one edge from M, so there is such an i that $G(V_i)$ does not contain edges from M. Besides that, M contains no more than one edge from the set $EN^l_G(C')$. Let e be such an edge. If both ends of e belong to C', let $e' = x^i_{l+1}\overline{x}^i_{l+1}$ in the case of even k and $e' = a^i b^i$ in the case of odd k. Then $M \cup \{e'\}$ is a distance-k matching, contradicting the maximality of M. Otherwise $e \in EN^l_G(V_i)$. Assume without loss of generality that x^i_1 is closer to e than $\overline{x}^i_1$ and denote the distance from e to x_1 as d. If k is even, let $e' = \overline{x}^i_{d+1}\overline{x}^i_{d+2}$, else let $e' = \overline{x}^i_{d+1}\overline{x}'^i_{d+1}$. Recall that no clause contains both some variable and its negation, so $M \cup \{e'\}$ is a distance-k matching. This again contradicts the maximality of M. ∎

Claim 2 *There is a distace-k matching of size $n+1$ in G.*

Proof. For an even k, the edge set $\{x^i_{l+1}\overline{x}^i_{l+1} : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{c_1 c_2\}$ satisfies the condition. For an odd k, the edge set $\{a^i b^i : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{c_1 c_2\}$ satisfies the condition. ∎

Claim 3 *Assume that there exists an assignment ϕ that satisfies C. Then there exists a maximal distance-k matching of size n in the graph G.*

Proof. For an even k, for each i such that $x_i = 1$ in ϕ, add the edge $x^i_1 x^i_2$ to M. For each i such that $x_i = 0$ in ϕ, add the edge $\overline{x}^i_1\overline{x}^i_2$ to M.

If k is odd, then for each i such that $x_i = 1$ in ϕ, add the edge $x^i_2 x'^i_2$ to M. For each i such that $x_i = 0$ in ϕ, add the edge $\overline{x}^i_2\overline{x}'^i_2$ to M.

In both cases, if the clause c_j is satisfied, then all the edges in the set $EN^l_G(\{c'_j\})$ are at a distance less than k from some edge in M. Besides that, for every i, any edge in the

set $E(G(V_i))$ is at a distance less than k from some edge in M. It is also easy to see that M is a distance-k matching. Thus, M is a maximal distance-k matching. ∎

Claim 4 *If there exists a maximal distance-k matching M of size n in G, then there exists an assignment ϕ such that not more than one clause in C is not satisfied.*

Proof. By claim 1, all the edges from M are in $\cup_i E(G(V_i))$. For each i, if there is an edge in M with both ends in X_i (for an even k) or in $X_i \cup \{a_i\}$ (for an odd k), assign $x_i = 1$ in ϕ. For each i, if there is an edge in M with both ends in $\overline{X}_i$ (for an even k) or in $\overline{X}_i \cup \{a_i\}$ (for an odd k), assign $x_i = 0$ in ϕ. This assignment is consistent, because $E(G(V_i))$ contains at most one edge of M for each i. Assign arbitrary values to all the remaining variables.

By the construction of ϕ, if a clause c_i is not satisfied by the constructed assignment, then there are no edges in M at a distance less than k from c'_j. If some distinct clauses c_p and c_q are not satisfied by ϕ, then the vertices c'_p and c'_q are at a distance at least k from any edge in M. Hence, $M \cup \{c'_p c'_q\}$ is a distance-k matching, which contradicts the maximality of M. We obtain that ϕ does not satisfy at most one clause in C. ∎

Theorem 1 *The problem of recognizing k-equimatchable graphs is co-NP-complete for any fixed $k \geq 2$.*

Proof. It is obvious that this problem is in co-NP. To show that it is NP-hard we use the SAT-to-Graph reduction from the Gap-3-SAT problem.

The Gap-3-SAT problem is formulated as follows. The input is a set of clauses $C = \{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_m\}$ over a set $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ of boolean variables. Each clause contains three different literals, and no clause contains a variable and its negation at the same time. Besides that, the set C has the following property: either there is an assignment that satisfies all the clauses in C, or no assignment satisfies more than $\rho|C|$ clauses. The question is whether there exists an assignment that satisfies all the clauses in C.

Given a pair of the C and X sets and an integer number $k \geq 2$, we construct a graph G by the algorithm SAT-to-Graph. Assume that either all the clauses in C can be satisfied by some assignment ϕ, or for any assignment ϕ at least two clauses in C are unsatisfied. Then by claims 1, 2, 3, and 4, G is not k-equimatchable if and only if C is satisfiable.

The problem Gap-3-SAT is NP-hard for some constant $\rho = \rho^*$ [24]. Fix the value ρ^* for the parameter ρ. Note that, starting from some m_0, the set C has the following property: either all the clauses can be satisfied by some assignment, or every assignment does not satisfy at least two clauses.

Thus, starting from some m_0, an instance of the problem Gap-3-SAT is a yes-instance if and only if G is not a k-equimatchable graph. For $m < m_0$ the check can be performed by a trivial algorithm, as the number of clauses is constant. So, we obtain a polynomial reduction from an NP-hard problem to the problem of k-equimatchable graph recognition, which concludes the proof. ∎

Corollary 1 *The problem of k-equipackable line graph recognition is co-NP-complete for every fixed $k \geq 2$.*

Theorem 2 *The problem of finding a minimum maximal distance-k matching is NP-hard for every fixed $k \geq 2$.*

Proof. Consider the graph G constructed in the proof of theorem 1. By claim 1, G is not k-equimatchable if and only if G has a maximal distance-k matching of size less or equal to n. By Theorem 2 checking if G is not k-equimatchable is an NP-complete problem, thus checking whether G has a maximal distance-k matching of size less or equal to n is also an NP-complete problem. ∎

Corollary 2 *The problem of finding a minimum k-independent domination set in line graphs is NP-hard for every fixed $k \geq 2$.*

3 Subclasses of k-equipackable graphs

Let k be a positive integer and $\mathcal{R}_k$ be the class of graphs with $\rho_k(G) = \rho_{2k}(G)$ for every graph G in this class. Recall that a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is called a simplicial vertex if its closed neighborhood $N_G[v]$ induces a complete subgraph of G. A simplicial clique is a clique containing a simplicial vertex. Let

$$\mathcal{S}(G) = \{\text{the set of simplicial vertices of } C \mid C \text{ is a simplicial clique of } G\}.$$

Then a transversal of $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is by definition a set of simplicial vertices that has exactly one common vertex with every simplicial clique of G.

In [4] the following simple characterization of the class $\mathcal{R}_1$ is obtained:

Theorem 3 *A graph G satisfies $\rho_1(G) = \rho_2(G)$ if and only if*

(i) a set of vertices of G is a maximum 2-packing if and only if it is a transversal of $\mathcal{S}(G)$, and

(ii) for every transversal P of $\mathcal{S}(G)$, the sets $N_G[u]$ for u in P partition $V(G)$.

We simplify this result even further in the following way:

Theorem 4 *A graph G satisfies $\rho_1(G) = \rho_2(G)$ if and only if all its simplicial cliques partition $V(G)$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that the condition (ii) of theorem 3 is exactly the condition that all the simplicial cliques of G form a partition of $V(G)$. It remains to show that the condition (i) of theorem 3 is implied by the former condition.

Indeed, every transversal of $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is clearly a 2-packing. All such transversals have the same cardinality $t = |\mathcal{S}(G)| \leq \rho_2(G)$. Every clique can contain no more than one vertex

of a given 2-packing, so we obtain that $\rho_2(G)$ is bounded by the number of simplicial cliques of G, which is exactly t, i.e. $\rho_2(G) \leq t$. Therefore, every transversal of $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is a maximum 2-packing.

Conversely, consider a maximum 2-packing I_2 of G and assume that it contains a non-simplicial vertex v in a simplicial clique C_v. As v is not a simplicial vertex, there exists a vertex $u \notin C_v$ such that $uv \in E(G)$. The simplicial clique partition implies that u belongs to another simplicial clique C_u. Note that $I_2 \cap (C_u \cup C_v) = \{v\}$, because all the vertices of C_v and C_u are at a distance no more than 2 from v. But as a 2-packing, I_2 contains no more than one vertex from each simplicial clique of the partition, so $|I_2| < t = \rho_2(G)$ and I_2 is not a maximum 2-packing. ■

As k-independent and $2k$-independent sets in G correspond to 1-independent and 2-independent sets in G^k, a graph G belongs to $\mathcal{R}_k$ if and only if $G^k \in \mathcal{R}_1$.

Corollary 3 *G is a graph with $\rho_k(G) = \rho_{2k}(G)$ if and only if there exists a partition $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_t$ of $V(G)$ such that the following two properties hold:*
1) V_i induces in G a subgraph of diameter k for $1 \leq i \leq t$;
2) V_i contains a vertex v_i such that for each edge $vu \in E(G), v \in V_i, u \notin V_i$, the distance between v_i and v is k for $1 \leq i \leq t$.

Corollary 4 *Every graph in $\mathcal{R}_k$ is k-equipackable.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the claim for $k = 1$. We know that for every $G \in \mathcal{R}_1$, the set of simplicial cliques partitions $V(G)$. Every maximum independent set of G is bounded in cardinality by the number t of simplicial cliques both from above (because every clique can contain not more than one vertex of an independent set) and from below (because if there exists a simplicial clique containing no vertices of an independent set, the simplicial vertex from the clique could extend the independent set). ■

Applying the structural characterization of corollary 3 to line graphs, one can obtain a characterization of graphs with equal maximum distance-k and distance-$2k$ matching sizes given in [4]. Corollary 4 implies that such graphs constitute a subclass of k-equimatchable graphs.

Corollary 5 *Every graph with equal maximum distance-k and distance-$2k$ matching sizes is k-equimatchable.*

A *sun* is a chordal graph $G = (V, E)$ such that V can be partitioned into two sets $X = \{x_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and $Y = \{y_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ so that

1) X is an independent set in G,

2) $y_i y_{i+1} \in E(G), 1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $y_n y_1 \in E(G)$,

3) $x_i y_j \in E(G)$ if and only if $i = j$ or $i = j + 1$ or $i = n, j = 1$.

A chordal graph is called *sun-free chordal* if it does not contain any sun as an induced subgraph. Please note that the class of sun-free chordal graphs in this definition, following [3], is not the same as the class of strongly chordal graphs. In [3] it is proved that for

every sun-free chordal graph G the equality $\gamma_k(G) = \rho_{2k}(G)$ holds. Thus, we obtain the following simple characterization of sun-free chordal graphs with equal k-domination and k-packing numbers. According to Corollary 3 their structure is analogous to the structure of the trees with equal k-domination and k-packing numbers obtained in [12] and to the structure of block graphs with the same property obtained in [13].

Theorem 5 *The following statements are equivalent for a sun-free chordal graph G:*
1) $\gamma_k(G) = \rho_k(G)$;
2) $G \in \mathcal{R}_k$.

Consider that for any graph G, both statements independently imply that G is k-equipackable. $\gamma_k(G) = \rho_k(G)$ implies $i_k(G) = \rho_k(G)$ because $\gamma_k(G) \leq i_k(G) \leq \rho_k(G)$, where $i_k(G)$ is the minimum maximal k-packing cardinality in G. $G \in \mathcal{R}_k$ implies that G is k-equipackable by corollary 4. It is notable that in the class of sun-free chordal graphs, the sets of k-equipackable graphs defined by these two conditions coincide. It is an open question whether there are any other k-equipackable sun-free chordal graphs, or whether this coincidence may be extended to a larger class of graphs.

4 Weighted minimum maximal distance-k matchings in chordal graphs

The Weighted Minimum Maximal Distance-k Matching problem (which we shall refer to as k-WMMM) is the problem to find the minimum weight maximal distance-k matching in a given graph G with a weight function $w : E(G) \to \mathbb{Q}_+$ defined on its edges, where $\mathbb{Q}_+$ is the set of positive rational numbers. The Set Cover problem is to find for the given universal set X and a collection S of its subsets a minimum number of sets from S that cover X.

The next theorem shows the reduction of the Set Cover problem to the $2l$-WMMM problem in chordal graphs.

Theorem 6 *Assume that for an arbitrary fixed positive integer number l and some rational constant c there exists a $c \ln |V(G)|$-approximation algorithm $2l$-WMMM-Approx for the problem $2l$-WMMM in chordal graphs. Then there exists a polynomial $c(d+1)\ln|X|$-approximation algorithm for the Set Cover problem with the restriction $|S| \leq |X|^d$, where $d > 1$ is an arbitrary integer number.*

Proof. Consider the following reduction of the problem Set Cover to $2l$-WMMM.

Given a sufficiently large universal set X (such that both $|X| \geq 5l+2$ and $c(\ln|X|-1) \geq 1$) and a collection $S = \{S_i\}$ of its subsets, where $|S| \leq |X|^d$, construct the graph G as follows. Take $V(G) = X \cup \left(\cup_{j=1}^{5l+1} R_j\right)$, where $R_j = \{v_{i,j} : 1 \leq i \leq |S|\}$, $1 \leq j \leq 5l+1$, as the vertex set (X and all the R_j are pairwise disjoint). We shall denote $V(G)$ as V. The

edge set is defined by

$$E(G) = \{x_i x_j \mid x_i, x_j \in X\} \cup \{x_i v_{j,1} \mid x_i \in S_j\} \cup \{v_{i,j} v_{i,j+1}\}$$

(see figure 2).



Figure 2: The construction used in the reduction from Set Cover to 4-WMMM

It is easy to see that G has no induced cycles of length 4 or more, thus G is chordal. As $|S| \leq |X|^d$ and $|X| \geq 5l + 2$, we have

$$|V| = |X| + (5l+1)|S| \leq |X| + (5l+1)|X|^d \leq |X|^{d+1}.$$

Let p be the maximal integer number less than $\ln |V|$. We have $cp \geq c(\ln |X| - 1) \geq 1$. Take $\alpha = cp|S| \geq |S|$ and assign a weight of $2\alpha^2 + 1$ to all the edges connecting different vertices in X, all the edges connecting a vertex from X with a vertex from R_1, and all the edges connecting a vertex from R_j with a vertex from R_{j+1} for $1 \leq j \leq l-1$ (if $l \geq 2$). Assign a weight of α to all the edges connecting a vertex from R_j with a vertex from R_{j+1} for $l \leq j \leq 3l-1$. Assign a weight of $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2\alpha}$ to all the remaining edges. Denote the weight function thus defined by ω.

Let M_A be a distance-$2l$ matching in G found by the algorithm $2l$-WMMM-Approx and M^* be a minimum weight maximal distance-$2l$ matching in G.

No edge of weight $2\alpha^2 + 1$ can occur in M^*, because the set

$$\{v_{i,l} v_{i,l+1}, v_{i,5l} v_{i,5l+1} : 1 \leq i \leq |S|\}$$

is a maximal distance-$2l$ matching of weight $w_0 = (\alpha + \varepsilon)|S| \geq \omega(M^*)$ and $w_0 < 2\alpha^2 + 1$. Neither can such an edge occur in M_A, because otherwise we would have

$$2\alpha^2 + 1 \leq \omega(M_A) \leq c \ln |V| \omega(M^*) < c(p+1) \cdot (\alpha + \varepsilon)|S| < 2cp|S| \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{2\alpha}\right) = 2\alpha^2 + 1.$$

Consider an arbitrary maximal distance-$2l$ matching M with no edges of weight $2\alpha^2+1$ in G. Each simple path $L_i = v_{i,1} v_{i,2} \ldots v_{i,5l+1}$ can contain exactly one or two edges of M. Moreover, if $|L_i \cap M| = 1$, then exactly $L_i \cap M = \{v_{i,3l} v_{i,3l+1}\}$.

Let D_M denote the set of such indices j that each path L_i contains exactly two edges

from M. Then $\omega(M) = \alpha|D_M| + \varepsilon|S|$. We shall prove that the collection of sets $S_M = \{S_j \mid j \in D_M\}$ is a cover of the set X.

Assume the contrary. Let x_t be an element that is not contained in any set from S_M. Then for every i such that $x_t \in S_i$, L_i contains only one edge, and it is exactly $v_{i,3l}v_{i,3l+1}$. Therefore $M \cup \{x_t v_{i,1}\}$ is a distance-$2l$ matching, contradicting the maximality of M.

On the other hand, if D^* is the set of such indices i that $\{S_i\}$ is a minimum set cover of X, then the set

$$\{v_{i,l}v_{i,l+1}, v_{i,5l}v_{i,5l+1} \mid i \in D^*\} \cup \{v_{i,3l}v_{i,3l+1} \mid i \notin D^*\}$$

is a distance-$2l$ matching having the weight $\alpha|D^*| + \varepsilon|S|$. Thus, $\omega(M^*) = \alpha|D^*| + \varepsilon|S|$. Then

$$\alpha|D_{M_A}| + \varepsilon|S| = \omega(M_A) \leq c \ln |V| \omega(M^*) = c \ln |V|(\alpha|D^*| + \varepsilon|S|),$$

so as $\ln |V| \leq (d+1) \ln |X|$ we have

$$\alpha|D_{M_A}| \leq c \ln |V| \alpha|D^*| \leq c(d+1) \ln |X| \alpha|D^*|,$$

giving $|D_{M_A}| \leq c(d+1) \ln |X| \cdot |D^*|$ and providing D_{M_A} as a $c(d+1) \ln |X|$-approximation for the instance of the Set Cover problem. ∎

In [23] it is shown that for every $0 < \alpha < 1$ the Set Cover problem cannot be approximated in polynomial time to $c \ln n$ unless P = NP, where $c = 1 - \alpha$. The instances of the Set Cover problem used in the proof of this result have at most $n^{O(1/\alpha)}$ subsets. Fixing α, we may thus assume that $|S| \leq n^d$ for some fixed d. Combined with theorem 6, for $\varepsilon = c(d+1)$, it implies that

Corollary 6 *For a fixed constant ε, there exists no polynomial $\varepsilon \ln |V(G)|$-approximation algorithm for the problem* $2l$-WMMM *unless P = NP.*

Note that as we use only 3 different edge weights in the construction of the proof of Theorem 6, the same result holds for the $2l$-WMMM problem with integer polynomially bounded edge weights. To obtain this, it is sufficient to multiply all the weights in the proof by 2α.

5 Minimum maximal induced matchings in some restricted graph classes

Now we proceed to some hardness results about the Minimum Maximal Distance-2 Matching (which is also known under the name of Minimum Maximal Induced Matching), the problem of finding a maximal distance-2 matching of minimum cardinality in a given graph. We consider the following supplementary transformation $T(G)$

applied to an arbitrary graph G: For every vertex v in G, introduce the new vertices a^v, b^v, c^v, d_i^v, e_i^v and the new edges va^v, a^vb^v, vc^v, $c^vd_i^v$, $d_i^ve_i^v$ for $1 \le i \le 2n+1$, where $n = |V(G)|$ (see figure 3).



Figure 3: The construction of the graph $T(G)$

Recall that $\sigma(G)$ is the cardinality of a minimum maximal induced matching in G, and $\alpha(G)$ is the cardinality of a maximum independent set in G.

Theorem 7 *Let G be arbitrary graph. Then $\sigma(T(G)) = 2n - \alpha(G)$.*

Proof. Let us prove that $\sigma(T(G)) \le 2n - \alpha(G)$. Given a maximum independent set I in G, build a maximal induced matching M in $T(G)$ as follows:

$$M = \{vc^v \mid v \in I\} \cup \{a^vb^v, c^vd_1^v \mid v \in V_G \setminus I\}.$$

As $|M| = \sum\limits_{v \in I} 1 + \sum\limits_{v \in V_G \setminus I} 2 = 2n - |I| = 2n - \alpha(G)$, it proves the required inequality.

Let us now prove that $\alpha(G) \ge 2n - \sigma(T(G))$. Consider a minimum maximal induced matching M in $T(G)$. Note that if for some vertex $v \in V(G)$ it contains any of the edges $d_k^ve_k^v$ (for some k), va^v or uv for some $v \in V_G$, then by maximality it must also contain all the edges $d_i^ve_i^v$, $1 \le i \le 2n+1$. But then $\sigma(T(G)) = |M| \ge 2n+1$, which contradicts the inequality proven before.

Therefore, for every vertex $v \in V_G$, M contains either a single edge vc^v or two edges a^vb^v and $c^vd_k^v$ for some k. The vertices of the first type induce an independent set in G, because M is an induced matching. Thus, $\alpha(G) + |M| \ge 2n$, and further $\alpha(G) \ge 2n - |M| = 2n - \sigma(T(G))$, as required. ∎

We use some well-known results to obtain notable corollaries of this theorem.

Theorem 8 ([25]) *The* Maximum Independent Set *problem is NP-hard when restricted to planar graphs G containing no cycles of length less than cn^k, where $n = |V(G)|$ and c and k are arbitrary constants satisfying $c > 0$ and $0 \le k < 1$.*

Corollary 7 *The* Minimum Maximal Distance-2 Matching *problem is NP-hard in the class of planar graphs G with girth at least an^d, where $n = |V(G)|$ and a and d are arbitrary constants satisfying $a > 0$ and $0 \le d < \frac{1}{3}$.*

Proof. We shall build a polynomial reduction from the MAXIMUM INDEPENDENT SET problem subject to the restrictions of theorem 8. Let $c > 0$ and $0 < k \leq 1$ be fixed for the course of the proof. Given a planar graph G with girth at least cn^k, where $n = |V(G)|$, we can clearly build $T(G)$ in polynomial time. Observe that $T(G)$ is also planar, has no new cycles and $N = |V(T(G))| = (3 + 2(n + 1))n = 2n^2 + 5n$. Then, for a sufficiently large n, $N < 2n^3$ and $n > \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{1/3}$. Every cycle of G (and therefore of $T(G)$) has length at least $cn^k > c\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{k/3} = aN^d$, where $d = k/3$ and $a = 2^{-k/3}$, satisfying the requirements for a and d in the corollary statement. By theorem 7, an independent set of size at least s exists in G if and only if $T(G)$ contains a maximal induced matching of size no more than $2n - s$. ∎

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an arbitrary finite family of graphs. By definition, the class of *$\mathcal{F}$-free graphs* consists of all the graphs having no induced subgraphs from $\mathcal{F}$. Let $S_{i,j,k}$ be a tree composed of three simple paths P_i, P_j, and P_k sharing exactly one common vertex of degree 3.

Theorem 9 ([26]) *If no graph in $\mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to $S_{i,j,k}$ for some i, j, and k, then the* MAXIMUM INDEPENDENT SET *problem is NP-hard in the class of $\mathcal{F}$-free planar graphs of degree at most 3.*

Corollary 8 *For every given family $\mathcal{F}$ of biconnected graphs, the problem* MINIMUM MAXIMAL DISTANCE-2 MATCHING *is NP-hard in the class of $\mathcal{F}$-free planar graphs.*

Proof. As none of the graphs $S_{i,j,k}$ are biconnected, the MAXIMUM INDEPENDENT SET problem is NP-complete in the class of $\mathcal{F}$-free planar graphs of degree at most 3 by theorem 9. We will reduce it to the MINIMUM MAXIMAL DISTANCE-2 MATCHING problem in the class of $\mathcal{F}$-free planar graphs. Observe that for every $\mathcal{F}$-free graph G, the graph $T(G)$ is also $\mathcal{F}$-free (as every biconnected subgraph of $T(G)$ is also a subgraph of G) and planar. Therefore we can obtain in polynomial time a $\mathcal{F}$-free planar graph $T(G)$ with the property that an independent set of size at least s exists in G if and only if $T(G)$ contains a maximal induced matching of size not more than $2|V(G)| - s$. ∎

6 Concluding remarks

Using the reduction in section 2, we have shown that the problems of recognition are co-NP-complete for k-equimatchable graphs and k-equipackable line graphs, and that the problem of finding a minimum maximal distance-k matching is NP-hard for every fixed $k \geq 2$. This extends the results of [8] from $k = 2$ to the case of an arbitrary $k \geq 2$. It also implies that the problem of finding a minimum k-independent domination set is NP-hard in line graphs.

In section 3, we have shown that the class $\mathcal{R}_k$ from [4] is an interesting subclass of the class of k-equipackable graphs, a natural extension of well-covered graphs. Applying this result to line graphs allows to show that every graph with equal maximum distance-k

and distance-$2k$ matching sizes is k-equipackable. It is notable that belonging to $\mathcal{R}_k$ for a sun-free chordal graph is equivalent to having equal k-domination and k-packing numbers. It is an open question whether there are any other k-equipackable sun-free chordal graphs or whether this duality result can be extended to some larger class of graphs.

We have shown that the Distance-k Weighted Minimum Maximal Matching problem is NP-hard to approximate in the class of chordal graphs within a factor of $\varepsilon \ln n$, where n is the graph size, for some fixed constant ε and every fixed even k (section 4). It thus remains an open problem to obtain corresponding approximation bounds in chordal graphs for odd values of k.

The hardness results for the Minimum Maximal Induced Matching problem in the restricted graph classes from section 5 are obtained using a construction with the maximum vertex degree more than the number of the input graph vertices. It is thus interesting whether these results hold when the vertex degrees are limited. Further research might also concern the case of minimum maximal distance-k matchings in the aforementioned restricted graph classes for higher values of k.

7 Acknowledgments

We thank Yury L. Orlovich for stating the initial problems that led to the main results of sections 2 and 4, as long as for useful comments and suggestions and Dieter Rautenbach for a discussion about graphs with equal k-packing and $2k$-packing numbers (section 3). The technique used in section 5 is inspired by an unpublished work of Oleg Duginov and Eugene Dolzhenok on the dissociation sets problem.

This work has been partially supported by the Belarusian BRFFR grant (Project F15MLD-022).

References

[1] Stockmeyer, L. J., Vazirani, V. V. NP-completeness of some generalizations of the maximum matching problem // Information Processing Letters. 1982. V. 15. P. 14–19.

[2] Meir, A., Moon, J. W. Relation between packing and covering of a tree // Pacific Journal of Mathematics. 1975. V. 61. P. 225–233.

[3] Chang, G. J., Nemhauser, G. L. The k-domination and k-stability problems on sun-free chordal graphs // SIAM Journal on Algebraic Discrete Methods. 1984. V. 5. No. 3. P. 332–345.

[4] Joos, F., Rautenbach, D. Equality of distance packing numbers // Discrete Mathematics. 2015. V. 338. No. 12. P. 2374–2377.

[5] Plummer, M. D. Well-covered graphs: A survey // Quaestiones Mathematicae. 1993. V. 16. No. 3. P. 253-287.

[6] Favaron, O., Haynes, T. W., Slater P. J. Distance-k independent domination sequences // The Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing. 2000. V. 33. P. 225-237.

[7] Lesk, M., Plummer, M. D., Pulleyblank, W. R. Equi-matchable graphs // In: Graph theory and combinatorics, Academic Press, London, 1984. P. 239–254.

[8] Baptiste, P., Kovalyov, M. Y., Orlovich, Y. L., Werner, F., Zverovich, I. E. Graphs with maximal induced matchings of the same size // Proceedings of 14th IFAC Symposium on Control problems in Manufacturing. 2012. P. 518–523.

[9] Plummer, M. D. Some covering concepts in graphs // Journal of Combinatorial Theory. 1970. V. 8. P. 91–98.

[10] Chvátal, V., Slater, P. J. A note on well-covered graphs // In: Gimbel, J., Kennedy, J. W., Quintas, L. V. (Eds.). Annals of Discrete Mathematics. V. 55 (Quo Vadis, Graph Theory?). Elsevier, 1993. P. 179–181.

[11] Caro, Y., Sebő, A., Tarsi, M. Recognizing greedy structures // Journal of Algorithms. 1996. V. 20. P. 137–156.

[12] Topp, J., Volkmann, L. On packing and covering numbers of graphs // Discrete Mathematics. 1991. V. 96. P. 229–238.

[13] Hattingh, J. H., Henning, M. A. A characterization of block graphs that are well-k-dominated // Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing. 1993. V. 13. P. 33–38.

[14] Frendrup, A., Hartnell, B., Vestergaard, P. D. A note on equimatchable graphs // Australasian Journal of Combinatorics. 2010. V. 46. P. 185–190.

[15] McRae, A. A., Hedetniemi, S. T. Finding n-independent dominating sets // Congressus Numerantium. 1991. V. 85. P 235–244.

[16] Fricke, G., Hedetniemi, S. T., and Henning, M. A.. Distance independent domination in graphs // Ars Combinatorica. 1995. V. 41. P. 33–44.

[17] Brandstädt, A., Mosca, R. On distance-3 matchings and induced matchings // Discrete Applied Mathematics. 2011. V. 159. No. 7. P. 509–520.

[18] Eto, H., Guo, F., Miyano, E. Distance-d Independent Set Problems for Bipartite and Chordal Graphs // Journal of Combinatorial Optimization. 2014. V. 27. No. 1. P. 88–99.

[19] Orlovich, Y., Finke, G., Gordon, V., Zverovich, I. Approximability results for the maximum and minimum maximal induced matching problems // Discrete Optimization. 2008. V. 5. No. 3. P. 584–593.

[20] Lozin, V. V. On maximum induced matchings in bipartite graphs // Information Processing Letters. 2002. V. 81. No. 1. P. 7–11.

[21] Kobler, D., Rotics, U. Finding maximum induced matchings in subclasses of claw-free and P_5-free graphs, and in graphs with matching and induced matching of equal maximum size // Algorithmica. 2003. V. 37. P. 327–346.

[22] Cameron, K. Induced matchings // Discrete Applied Mathematics. 1989. V. 24. P. 97–102.

[23] Dinur, I., Steurer, D. Analytical approach to parallel repetition // STOC 2014: Proceedings of the forty-sixth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing, ACM. 2013. P. 624–633.

[24] Arora, S., Barak, B. Computational Complexity: A Modern Approach. Cambridge University Press, 2009.

[25] Murphy, O. J. Computing Independent Sets in Graphs With Large Girth // Discrete Applied Mathematics. 1992. V. 35. P. 167–170.

[26] Lozin, V., Milanič, M. On the Maximum Independent Set Problem in Subclasses of Planar Graphs // Journal of Graph Algorithms and Applications. 2010. V. 14. No. 2. P. 269–286.